Discussion of "Optimal policy and simple rules: A unified approach" by Øistein Roisland and Tommy Sveen

Günter W. Beck

University of Mainz and CFS

Oslo, June 24, 2010

Günter W. Beck ()

Optimal policy and simple rules: A unified ap

June 24, 2010 1 / 16

#### Introduction

• Very interesting

Günter W. Beck ()

< AP

#### Introduction

• Very interesting and ambitious paper:

• Very interesting and ambitious paper:

"... We will in this paper not only use the loss function to describe actual policy from a positive perspective, we shall also show that optimal policy with some weight on a simple rule is in fact a simple and effective way to robustify optimal policy... " • Very interesting and ambitious paper:

"... We will in this paper not only use the loss function to describe actual policy from a positive perspective, we shall also show that optimal policy with some weight on a simple rule is in fact a simple and effective way to robustify optimal policy... "

• To do so: Modify loss function of policymaker.



- 2 Approach of the paper
- **3** Selected results

**4** Questions, (potential) issues and suggestions

• There are three major forms of uncertainty:

- There are three major forms of uncertainty:
  - Model uncertainty.

- There are three major forms of uncertainty:
  - Model uncertainty.
  - Parameter uncertainty.

- There are three major forms of uncertainty:
  - Model uncertainty.
  - Parameter uncertainty.
  - Data uncertainty.

- There are three major forms of uncertainty:
  - Model uncertainty.
  - Parameter uncertainty.
  - Data uncertainty.
  - $\implies$  Paper deals with model uncertainty.

- There are three major forms of uncertainty:
  - Model uncertainty.
  - Parameter uncertainty.
  - Data uncertainty.
  - $\implies$  Paper deals with model uncertainty.
- To design robust monetary policy under model uncertainty many (most) authors have used one of two alternative approaches:

- There are three major forms of uncertainty:
  - Model uncertainty.
  - Parameter uncertainty.
  - Data uncertainty.
  - $\implies$  Paper deals with model uncertainty.
- To design robust monetary policy under model uncertainty many (most) authors have used one of two alternative approaches:
  - Bayesian approach: Levin et al. (2003), Levin and Williams (2003):

- There are three major forms of uncertainty:
  - Model uncertainty.
  - Parameter uncertainty.
  - Data uncertainty.
  - $\implies$  Paper deals with model uncertainty.
- To design robust monetary policy under model uncertainty many (most) authors have used one of two alternative approaches:
  - Bayesian approach: Levin et al. (2003), Levin and Williams (2003):
    ⇒ Robust policy is found by minimizing a weighted loss function.

- There are three major forms of uncertainty:
  - Model uncertainty.
  - Parameter uncertainty.
  - Data uncertainty.
  - $\implies$  Paper deals with model uncertainty.
- To design robust monetary policy under model uncertainty many (most) authors have used one of two alternative approaches:
  - Bayesian approach: Levin et al. (2003), Levin and Williams (2003):
    ⇒ Robust policy is found by minimizing a weighted loss function.
    ⇒ Weights reflect policymakers' priors with respect to different models.

- There are three major forms of uncertainty:
  - Model uncertainty.
  - Parameter uncertainty.
  - Data uncertainty.
  - $\implies$  Paper deals with model uncertainty.
- To design robust monetary policy under model uncertainty many (most) authors have used one of two alternative approaches:
  - Bayesian approach: Levin et al. (2003), Levin and Williams (2003):
    ⇒ Robust policy is found by minimizing a weighted loss function.
    ⇒ Weights reflect policymakers' priors with respect to different models.
  - Minimax approach: Hansen and Sargent (2003, 2007):

- There are three major forms of uncertainty:
  - Model uncertainty.
  - Parameter uncertainty.
  - Data uncertainty.
  - $\implies$  Paper deals with model uncertainty.
- To design robust monetary policy under model uncertainty many (most) authors have used one of two alternative approaches:
  - Bayesian approach: Levin et al. (2003), Levin and Williams (2003):
    ⇒ Robust policy is found by minimizing a weighted loss function.
    ⇒ Weights reflect policymakers' priors with respect to different models.
  - Minimax approach: Hansen and Sargent (2003, 2007):

 $\Longrightarrow$  Robust policy is found by solving a game between a policy maker who attempts to minimize loss and nature that chooses a model from the model space so as to maximize loss.

- There are three major forms of uncertainty:
  - Model uncertainty.
  - Parameter uncertainty.
  - Data uncertainty.
  - $\implies$  Paper deals with model uncertainty.
- To design robust monetary policy under model uncertainty many (most) authors have used one of two alternative approaches:
  - Bayesian approach: Levin et al. (2003), Levin and Williams (2003):
    ⇒ Robust policy is found by minimizing a weighted loss function.
    ⇒ Weights reflect policymakers' priors with respect to different models.
  - Minimax approach: Hansen and Sargent (2003, 2007):

 $\Longrightarrow$  Robust policy is found by solving a game between a policy maker who attempts to minimize loss and nature that chooses a model from the model space so as to maximize loss.

June 24, 2010 4 / 16

• How to choose between the two approaches?

• How to choose between the two approaches?

 $\implies$  Kuester and Wieland (2010): Such an agnostic policymaker [i.e. a policymaker that considers it impossible to define a sensible prior distributions over the model space] could instead ask how to insure herself against worst-case scenarios, i.e. worst-case models.

#### Approach of the paper

< AP

• Starting point: Under model uncertainty there is a trade-off between optimal and robust policy.

- Starting point: Under model uncertainty there is a trade-off between optimal and robust policy.
- Optimal policy: Policy is designed to provide best social outcome (in a given model of the world).

- Starting point: Under model uncertainty there is a trade-off between optimal and robust policy.
- Optimal policy: Policy is designed to provide best social outcome (in a given model of the world).

 $\implies$  Under model uncertainty:

- Starting point: Under model uncertainty there is a trade-off between optimal and robust policy.
- Optimal policy: Policy is designed to provide best social outcome (in a given model of the world).

 $\implies$  Under model uncertainty: "We show that standard optimal policy in one model can give disastrous outcomes if an alternative model is true." (Roisland and Sveen, 2010):

- Starting point: Under model uncertainty there is a trade-off between optimal and robust policy.
- Optimal policy: Policy is designed to provide best social outcome (in a given model of the world).

 $\implies$  Under model uncertainty: "We show that standard optimal policy in one model can give disastrous outcomes if an alternative model is true." (Roisland and Sveen, 2010):

• Simple interest rate rules: Tend to be robust across models and can easily be communicated.

- Starting point: Under model uncertainty there is a trade-off between optimal and robust policy.
- Optimal policy: Policy is designed to provide best social outcome (in a given model of the world).

 $\implies$  Under model uncertainty: "We show that standard optimal policy in one model can give disastrous outcomes if an alternative model is true." (Roisland and Sveen, 2010):

• Simple interest rate rules: Tend to be robust across models and can easily be communicated.

 $\implies$  Problem: They always lead to "suboptimal" outcomes.

- Starting point: Under model uncertainty there is a trade-off between optimal and robust policy.
- Optimal policy: Policy is designed to provide best social outcome (in a given model of the world).

 $\implies$  Under model uncertainty: "We show that standard optimal policy in one model can give disastrous outcomes if an alternative model is true." (Roisland and Sveen, 2010):

- Simple interest rate rules: Tend to be robust across models and can easily be communicated.
  - $\implies$  Problem: They always lead to "suboptimal" outcomes.
  - $\implies$  Goal of the paper: "Combine the best from these two worlds".

#### Approach of the paper

< AP

• How?

Günter W. Beck ()

• How?

 $\implies$  Policymaker optimizes a modified loss function.

• How?

 $\implies$  Policymaker optimizes a modified loss function.

• Modified (period) loss function:

$$\mathcal{L} = (\pi_t - \pi^*)^2 + \lambda y_t^2 + \delta (i_t - i_{t-1})^2 + \delta (i_t -$$

• How?

 $\implies$  Policymaker optimizes a modified loss function.

• Modified (period) loss function:

$$\mathcal{L} = (\pi_t - \pi^*)^2 + \lambda y_t^2 + \delta (i_t - i_{t-1})^2 + \gamma z_t^2$$
(1)

• How?

 $\implies$  Policymaker optimizes a modified loss function.

• Modified (period) loss function:

$$\mathcal{L} = (\pi_t - \pi^*)^2 + \lambda y_t^2 + \delta (i_t - i_{t-1})^2 + \gamma z_t^2$$
(1)

 $\implies$   $z_t$ : Deviation of interest rate from simple (robust) rule.

• How?

 $\implies$  Policymaker optimizes a modified loss function.

• Modified (period) loss function:

$$\mathcal{L} = (\pi_t - \pi^*)^2 + \lambda y_t^2 + \delta (i_t - i_{t-1})^2 + \gamma z_t^2$$
(1)

 $\implies$   $z_t$ : Deviation of interest rate from simple (robust) rule.

 $\implies \gamma$ : Determines relative weight on robustness (versus optimality)  $(\gamma = \frac{\theta}{1-\theta}).$ 

• How?

 $\implies$  Policymaker optimizes a modified loss function.

• Modified (period) loss function:

$$\mathcal{L} = (\pi_t - \pi^*)^2 + \lambda y_t^2 + \delta (i_t - i_{t-1})^2 + \gamma z_t^2$$
(1)

 $\implies$   $z_t$ : Deviation of interest rate from simple (robust) rule.

 $\implies \gamma$ : Determines relative weight on robustness (versus optimality)  $(\gamma = \frac{\theta}{1-\theta}).$ 

• Simple rule:
• How?

 $\implies$  Policymaker optimizes a modified loss function.

• Modified (period) loss function:

$$\mathcal{L} = (\pi_t - \pi^*)^2 + \lambda y_t^2 + \delta (i_t - i_{t-1})^2 + \gamma z_t^2$$
(1)

 $\implies$   $z_t$ : Deviation of interest rate from simple (robust) rule.

 $\implies$   $\gamma$ : Determines relative weight on robustness (versus optimality)  $(\gamma = \frac{\theta}{1-\theta}).$ 

- Simple rule:
  - Taylor rule.

• How?

 $\implies$  Policymaker optimizes a modified loss function.

• Modified (period) loss function:

$$\mathcal{L} = (\pi_t - \pi^*)^2 + \lambda y_t^2 + \delta (i_t - i_{t-1})^2 + \gamma z_t^2$$
(1)

 $\implies$   $z_t$ : Deviation of interest rate from simple (robust) rule.

 $\implies$   $\gamma$ : Determines relative weight on robustness (versus optimality)  $(\gamma = \frac{\theta}{1-\theta}).$ 

- Simple rule:
  - Taylor rule.
  - Optimized rule from worst-case model.

• How is the approach related to the other approaches in the literature?

- How is the approach related to the other approaches in the literature?
  - "Modified-loss-function approach": Orphanides and Williams (2009).

- How is the approach related to the other approaches in the literature?
  - "Modified-loss-function approach": Orphanides and Williams (2009).

 $\implies$  Short question:

- How is the approach related to the other approaches in the literature?
  - "Modified-loss-function approach": Orphanides and Williams (2009).

 $\implies$  Short question: Some results suggests that precise preference parameter specifications must be given. Can this be realized?

- How is the approach related to the other approaches in the literature?
  - "Modified-loss-function approach": Orphanides and Williams (2009).

 $\implies$  Short question: Some results suggests that precise preference parameter specifications must be given. Can this be realized?

• Description by Roisland and Sveen (2010):

"... To analyze the robustness properties of the extended loss function, we consider three alternative models for the US economy..."

- How is the approach related to the other approaches in the literature?
  - "Modified-loss-function approach": Orphanides and Williams (2009).

 $\implies$  Short question: Some results suggests that precise preference parameter specifications must be given. Can this be realized?

• Description by Roisland and Sveen (2010):

"... To analyze the robustness properties of the extended loss function, we consider three alternative models for the US economy..."

... the central bank has a reference model ... "

- How is the approach related to the other approaches in the literature?
  - "Modified-loss-function approach": Orphanides and Williams (2009).

 $\implies$  Short question: Some results suggests that precise preference parameter specifications must be given. Can this be realized?

• Description by Roisland and Sveen (2010):

"... To analyze the robustness properties of the extended loss function, we consider three alternative models for the US economy..."

... the central bank has a reference model ... "

 $\implies$  Policymaker has relatively clear idea about the structure of the economy and holds relatively strong priors with respect to the "true" model.

- How is the approach related to the other approaches in the literature?
  - "Modified-loss-function approach": Orphanides and Williams (2009).

 $\implies$  Short question: Some results suggests that precise preference parameter specifications must be given. Can this be realized?

• Description by Roisland and Sveen (2010):

"... To analyze the robustness properties of the extended loss function, we consider three alternative models for the US economy..."

... the central bank has a reference model ... "

 $\implies$  Policymaker has relatively clear idea about the structure of the economy and holds relatively strong priors with respect to the "true" model.

 $\implies$  Kuester and Wieland (2010): Bayesian approach suggested.

- How is the approach related to the other approaches in the literature?
  - "Modified-loss-function approach": Orphanides and Williams (2009).

 $\implies$  Short question: Some results suggests that precise preference parameter specifications must be given. Can this be realized?

• Description by Roisland and Sveen (2010):

"... To analyze the robustness properties of the extended loss function, we consider three alternative models for the US economy..."

... the central bank has a reference model ... "

 $\implies$  Policymaker has relatively clear idea about the structure of the economy and holds relatively strong priors with respect to the "true" model.

 $\implies$  Kuester and Wieland (2010): Bayesian approach suggested.

• How is the approach related to the other approaches in the literature? (continued)

- How is the approach related to the other approaches in the literature? (continued)
  - Using the optimized simple interest rate rule from RS (1999) model in  $z_t$ :

- How is the approach related to the other approaches in the literature? (continued)
  - Using the optimized simple interest rate rule from RS (1999) model in  $z_t$ :

 $\Longrightarrow$  Motivated by idea of minimizing loss of worstly performing model

- How is the approach related to the other approaches in the literature? (continued)
  - Using the optimized simple interest rate rule from RS (1999) model in  $z_t$ :
    - $\Longrightarrow$  Motivated by idea of minimizing loss of worstly performing model
    - $\implies$  Idea of minimax approach.

• Interest rate rule in  $z_t$  is classic Taylor rule:

э

• Interest rate rule in  $z_t$  is classic Taylor rule:



Figure 1: Average loss evaluated in the alternative models as a function of the weight on the classical Taylor rule.

• Interest rate rule in  $z_t$  is classic Taylor rule:



Figure 1: Average loss evaluated in the alternative models as a function of the weight on the classical Taylor rule.

#### $\implies$ Recommendation: Policymaker should choose $\theta \in [0.4, 0.65]$ .

Günter W. Beck ()

• Interest rate rule in *z<sub>t</sub>* is optimized simple interest rate rule from RS (1999) model:

• Interest rate rule in z<sub>t</sub> is optimized simple interest rate rule from RS (1999) model:



Figure 3: Average loss evaluated in the alternative models.

Günter W. Beck ()

Optimal policy and simple rules: A unified ap

June 24, 2010 11 / 16

• Interest rate rule in z<sub>t</sub> is optimized simple interest rate rule from RS (1999) model:



Figure 3: Average loss evaluated in the alternative models.

 $\implies$  Recommendation: Policymaker should choose  $\theta \in [0.018, 0.045]$ .

Günter W. Beck ()

Optimal policy and simple rules: A unified ap

June 24, 2010 11 / 16

• How to choose  $\theta$ ?

Günter W. Beck ()

• How to choose  $\theta$ ?



Figure 1: Average loss evaluated in the alternative models as a function of the weight on the classical Taylor rule.

• How to choose  $\theta$ ?



Figure 1: Average loss evaluated in the alternative models as a function of the weight on the classical Taylor rule.

- Use priors on alternative models?
- $\implies$  Quasi-Bayesian approach?

Günter W. Beck ()

• The authors consider three alternative models:

- The authors consider three alternative models:
  - $\Longrightarrow$  Why exactly these three models?

- The authors consider three alternative models:
  - $\implies$  Why exactly these three models?
  - $\implies$  How "robust" are their results?

- The authors consider three alternative models:
  - $\implies$  Why exactly these three models?
  - $\implies$  How "robust" are their results?
- Illustration of one potential problem with "worst-case scenario".

• Interest rate rule in  $z_t$  is classic Taylor rule:

• Interest rate rule in  $z_t$  is classic Taylor rule:



Figure 1: Average loss evaluated in the alternative models as a function of the weight on the classical Taylor rule.

• Interest rate rule in  $z_t$  is classic Taylor rule:



Figure 1: Average loss evaluated in the alternative models as a function of the weight on the classical Taylor rule.

#### $\implies$ Base worst-case scenario on RS model.

Günter W. Beck ()

• Interest rate rule in *z<sub>t</sub>* is optimized simple interest rate rule from RS (1999) model:

• Interest rate rule in  $z_t$  is optimized simple interest rate rule from RS (1999) model:



Figure 3: Average loss evaluated in the alternative models.

• Interest rate rule in  $z_t$  is optimized simple interest rate rule from RS (1999) model:



Figure 3: Average loss evaluated in the alternative models.

#### $\implies$ Recommendation: ???.

Günter W. Beck ()

#### • Comment:

"... In addition, our approach is easily implementable for central banks, and it is flexible: If the policymaker gains new insights on the specification of robust simple rules, for example from new results in the academic literature, one can easily replace the existing rule(s), or one can add new simple rules to the loss function. ..."

#### • Comment:

"... In addition, our approach is easily implementable for central banks, and it is flexible: If the policymaker gains new insights on the specification of robust simple rules, for example from new results in the academic literature, one can easily replace the existing rule(s), or one can add new simple rules to the loss function. ..."

 $\implies$  Specific to chosen approach?