News and narratives in financial systems: Exploiting big data for systemic risk assessment


Abstract:
This paper applies algorithmic analysis to large amounts of financial market text-based data to assess how narratives and emotions play a role in driving developments in the financial system. We find that changes in the emotional content in market narratives are highly correlated across data sources. They show clearly the formation (and subsequent collapse) of very high levels of sentiment—high excitement relative to anxiety—leading up to the global financial crisis. And we find that the shifts have predictive power for other commonly used measures of sentiment and volatility. We also show that a new methodology that attempts to capture the emergence of narrative topic consensus gives an intuitive representation of the increasing homogeneity of beliefs around a new paradigm prior to the crisis. With increasing consensus around narratives high in excitement and lacking anxiety likely to be an important warning sign of impending financial system distress, the quantitative metrics we develop may complement other indicators and analysis in helping to gauge systemic risk.
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1. Introduction

The years preceding the global financial crisis were characterised by widespread exuberance in the financial sector. As has often occurred throughout history (Reinhart and Rogoff, 2009), consensus emerged over a new paradigm, under which the greater efficiency of markets and distribution of risk around the system was thought to justify the strong positive sentiment. When the crash came during 2007 and 2008, sentiment reversed rapidly with fear and anxiety pervading the financial system.

This paper applies algorithmic analysis to large amounts of unstructured text-based data to identify quantitative metrics that try to capture shifts in sentiment along with the extent of consensus in the market. We find that these metrics capture key developments in the financial system relatively well prior to and during the global financial crisis, as well as having predictive power for other commonly used measures of sentiment and volatility. As such, these metrics could potentially be used for gauging systemic risk in financial systems and helping to signal the prospect of future distress as a complement to more traditional indicators and analysis (see, for example, Drehmann et al, 2011, Bank of England, 2014 or Giese et al, 2014).

With rapid advances in ways to store and analyse large amounts of unstructured data, there is increasing awareness that these data may provide a rich source of useful information for assessing economic trends. For example, a growing literature exploits individual user-generated search engine data, such as Google Trends, to try to predict the current value of (‘nowcast’) economic variables such as GDP (see for example Choi and Varian, 2012). However, some recent studies suggest search engine data should be treated with care, either
because of a lack of transparency about how the data has been created (Lazer, et al. 2014) or uncertainty about the motivation for searching – independently or because of social influence (Ormerod et al., 2014).

By contrast, the measures of sentiment we use are pre-defined word lists representing two specific emotional groups. The words have been developed through the lens of a social-psychological theory of “conviction narratives” (CNT) (Tuckett and Nikolic, 2015), which is one way of empirically formulating “animal spirits” as described by Keynes (1936). CNT is a new theory which emphasises the role of narratives and particular groups of action-enabling or disabling emotions in driving decision-making under uncertainty (Chong and Tuckett, 2014; Tuckett and Nikolic, 2015). It has been successfully used in other applications, for example as a measure of changing macroeconomic confidence (Tuckett et al. 2015).

The theory suggests that within the context of deep, or Knightian (Knight, 1921), uncertainty (i.e., uncertainty characterised by a context in which the space of potential outcomes of some event cannot be articulated) agents do (and have to) construct narratives supporting their views and that these create a feeling of accuracy that readies them to act. Such conviction narratives combine

---

2 It builds on ideas about the role of narrative as a fundamental form of mental organization (2010) that allows experience to be ordered into “chunks” (Miller, 1956) with implicit relevance to plans (Pribriam et al, 1960) and so predictions about outcome. What is termed a conviction narrative is an organization of experience that establishes preference and enables action readiness (Frijda, 1998) in three ways. It allows human actors (1) to make sense of the situations they are in, i.e. to fit a pattern to observations and to reduce the prediction problem required to determine options; (2) to to form alternative imaginary visualisations of the future outcomes of plans so as to predict their subjective impact; and (3) to become adhered to a preferred narrative because it creates a sufficient feeling of certainty and accuracy about its predictions to enable and support action even at the risk of loss. The ideas build on existing work in affective and cognitive neuroscience (e.g. Damasio and Carvalho, 2013; Barsalou, 2008; Gallese and Goldman, 1998; Suddendorf & Corballis 2007 and Friston and Stephan, 2007). The crucial point is that narratives preparing action in conditions of uncertainty will be inherently bound up with activity in the brain stem eventually evoking emotions of either approach or avoidance.
cognition and emotion to interpret data, envision the future and support action. *Conviction narratives* contain a few fundamental components, notably a focus on the specific emotional elements of narratives that *evolve attraction* or *approach* to an object of investment (broadly conceived), versus emotions that *evoke repulsion* or *avoidance* of that object. This emphasis on approach and avoidance in conviction narrative theory focuses the idea of sentiment on its implications for *action* in uncertain decision-making, thus focusing the often-vague topic of positive/negative sentiment. In more ordinary language we focus on *excitement* about the potential gains from an action relative to anxiety about the potential losses. If excitement comes to dominate relative to anxiety, investment will be undertaken (Tuckett and Nikolic, 2015). Thus, in the simplest case, the key variables of interest are the aggregate relative difference between excitement and anxiety and shifts in this difference over time.

At any given moment, there will be several narratives and associated emotions circulating among all financial agents. Some of these narratives, or pieces of them, are likely to be contained within relevant text-based data sources. And if the relative shifts in the emotional content correlate across different sources, it is plausible that at least some financial agents had adopted a subset of the narratives and held them as true, though it is important to note that one cannot conclude, and it is in some cases highly unlikely (depending on the type of data), that the content creators *themselves* had adopted as true the narratives portrayed in their documents – for example, one can easily imagine a big difference between financial news documents and social media data, in the extent to which content creators *feel* what they write.
With this in mind, we analyse three unstructured text-based data sources of potential interest: internal Bank of England daily commentary on market news and events; broker research reports; and Reuters’ news articles in the United Kingdom. Motivated by the conviction narrative methodology, we capture an emotional summary statistic (Relative Sentiment Shift or RSS) based on these sources, and explore changes in the statistic over time to assess how convincingly and robustly it measures shifts in confidence. This measures aims at capturing the extent to which the creators of the documents portray emotions within the narratives and, in particular, shifts in the balance between the proportions of excitement versus anxiety words. As with other text-based and big data approaches which try to operationalise the concept of sentiment, for example using different word lists, a key strength of this method lies in its top down approach, capturing aggregate shifts largely undetectable to the human eye.

The relative sentiment metrics that we extract appear, with the benefit of hindsight, to give early warning signs of significant financial events in recent years. In particular, overall sentiment was at very high and stable levels in the mid-2000s, arguably indicative of exuberance in the financial system and the risk of future distress. From mid-2007, a surge in anxiety drove rapid falls in sentiment that continued until soon after the collapse of Lehman Brothers. And there were further falls in sentiment prior to the start of the Euro area sovereign crisis in 2011-2. In a related exercise, we also illustrate how our methods can be focussed on particular topics or entities, such as ‘property’, thus potentially helping to shed light on specific sectors of the economy.
To gauge the robustness of our aggregate sentiment metrics, we compare them with both with standard aggregated measures of consumer confidence and market volatility and with some relevant but more atheoretic measures of uncertainty from the literature exploiting text-based information. Strikingly, we find that our sentiment metrics often act as a leading indicator of such other measures and can potentially help us to understand them.

Financial behaviour can also often be homogenous. Therefore, in the second, more exploratory, part of the paper, we ask whether we can measure structural changes in the distribution of narratives. Specifically, we develop a methodology to measure ‘narrative consensus / disagreement’ in the distribution of narratives as they develop over time. This could be a relevant measure of the extent to which some narratives have been subject to social-psychological processes and adopted as true (*groupfeel* (Tuckett, 2011)). For example, prior to the global financial crisis, consensus appeared to develop across investors both about a new paradigm in the financial system and in the belief that it was possible to achieve higher returns than previously – indeed, claiming to do so arguably became necessary for financial institutions to attract new investment (Aikman et. al., 2011). But such consensus in an environment of high sentiment could be suggestive of over-confidence or irrational exuberance and the theory predicts that such situations are likely to be unsustainable. The ability to measure the emergence of consensus or disagreement within text documents could therefore prove useful in identifying financial system risks.

Using our newly developed measure of narrative dispersal, we find that consensus in the Reuters news articles grew significantly over a period spanning several years prior to the global financial crisis. When viewed together with the
sentiment series, this could be indicative of a growing, predominantly excited consensus about a new paradigm in the financial system. In other words, our top-down text analysis methodology suggests evidence that consensual, conviction narratives emerged prior to the crisis in which anxiety and doubt substantially diminished, indicative of possible impending distress.

Other studies that attempt to quantify sentiment have used text-based data sources such as corporate reports and news media analysed with much more general word lists to capture emotion. They have attempted, for example, to predict various aspects of asset prices (e.g., Loughran and MacDonald, 2011; Tetlock 2007; Tetlock et al. 2008; Tetlock 2011; Soo 2013) or to capture economic policy uncertainty (Baker et al., 2013). Research has also used text data to explore opinion formation in central banks (Hansen et al, 2014) or how the tone and language of statements by central banks may influence variables such as inflation forecasts and inflation expectations (see for example Blinder et al., 2008, Sturm & De Haan, 2011, Hubert 2012). More broadly, there is also a wider literature on how sentiment, as captured via surveys, market proxies or events, may affect financial markets and related opinion dynamics (e.g., Baker and Stein 2004; Baker and Wurgler 2006, 2007; Baker et al., 2012; Brown and Cliff, 2005; Edmans et al. 2007; Lux, 2008; Greenwood and Nagel 2009).

Our emphasis departs from the above literature in several ways. First, by focusing on a restricted dictionary of words we develop our measures of sentiment from the point of view of a social-psychological theory of action under uncertainty (Tuckett and Nikolic, 2015). In this way we apply a theoretical filter which should more accurately detect features we hypothesise to be important and avoid some of the difficulties associated with data mining. When processing
'big data' there is a risk of obtaining seemingly significant correlations that do not generalise. Data-mining techniques may also generalise poorly to new data sources and to be highly context specific. Second our primary focus is specifically on gauging the systemic risk, rather than on movements in particular asset prices or broader macroeconomic developments. Conviction Narrative Theory postulates that systemic risk can be generated when market agents get captured by group narratives that open up a gap in the usual balance between excitement and anxiety in narratives, suggesting that some kind of “this time is different” process (Reinhart and Rogoff, 2009) is going on (Tuckett, 2011). If so, a severe correction can be expected to follow. Third, much current research that applies some form of text-based sentiment analysis to study the economy or financial markets tends to exploit social media generated data. By contrast, we focus on data sources more specifically connected to the financial system, including one source written within a central bank.

Section 2 explains the data we have analysed and focuses on our measure of emotion, or sentiment, and explains the methodology. Section 3 sets out results, including Granger causality tests between the emotion indices and various financial/economic indicators. Section 4 focuses on the measure of ‘narrative consensus’, explaining the methodology and results. Section 5 discusses how these measures might complement more traditional indicators and analysis used in systemic risk assessment, and Section 6 concludes. A certain amount of technical material is made available in an Appendix. Full details of all the statistical tests involved in our analysis, along with further technical material, is in a Supplementary Material document, available on request from the authors.
2. Data and Methodology

We make use of a variety of data sources with a macroeconomic and financial sector focus.

2.1 Bank of England internal market commentary

The Markets Directorate of the Bank of England produces a range of internal reports on financial markets and the financial system, some of which provide ‘high-frequency’ commentary on events and some of which provide deeper, or more thematic, analysis. For this study, we analysed some documents of the former kind, more specifically daily reports on the current state of markets, given that for the kind of analysis we employ here, the ideal type of data should remain as ‘raw’ as possible in order not to ‘distort’ the market emotions reflected within. These documents mainly cover financial news and how markets appear to respond to such news. We therefore expect these documents to correlate well with financial sentiment in the UK and potentially contain useful information on systemic risk.

We analyse on average 26 documents per month from January 2000 until July 2010. The documents are typically relatively short, around 2-3 pages of email text. For the rest of the paper, we refer to these documents as ‘Market Commentary Daily (MCDAILY)’.

2.2 Broker reports

Broker research reports provide a large source of documents of clear relevance to financial markets and the macroeconomy. We analyse an archive of 14 brokers from June 2010 until June 2013, consisting of approximately 100
documents per month. The documents are very long (up to 50 pages in some cases), and so we pick up on a large number of words. Visual inspection of a sample of these documents reveals that they primarily focus on macroeconomic developments in the major economies. We therefore expect the sentiment within these data to correlate most strongly with macroeconomic variables. Throughout the rest of the paper, we refer to this database as ‘Broker report (BROKER)’.

2.3 Reuters News Archive

Finally, we use the Thomson-Reuters News archive, as also extensively studied by Tuckett et al. (2015) to assess macroeconomic trends. At the time of our analysis, the archive consisted of over 17 million English news articles. For most of this paper, we restrict our attention to news published by Reuters in London during the period between January 1996 and September 2014, in which 6,123 articles were published on average each month (after excluding all articles tagged by Reuters as ‘Sport’, ‘Weather’ and/or ‘Human Interest’). For the rest of the paper, we refer to this database as ‘Reuters (RTRS)’.

2.4 Relative Sentiment Shifts

A summary statistic of two emotional traits is extracted from our text data sources by a word count methodology described in more detail elsewhere (Tuckett, Smith and Nyman, 2014). Two lists of previously applied and experimentally validated words (Strauss, 2013), each of approximately size 150, are used, one representing excitement and one representing anxiety. Random samples of these words can be found in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1: Emotion dictionary samples</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anxiety</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
For the summary statistic of a collection of texts $T$, we count the number of occurrences of excitement words and anxiety words and then scale these numbers by the total text size as measured by the number of characters.\textsuperscript{3} To arrive at a single statistic, highly relevant to the theory of conviction narratives, we subtract the anxiety statistic from the excitement statistic, so that an increase in this relative emotion score is due to an increase in excitement and/or a decrease in anxiety.

$$Sentiment[T] = \frac{|Excitement| - |Anxiety|}{size[T]}$$

We compute this on a monthly basis. As evidenced by the definition of the measure, we do not control for possible negations of these words (e.g. ‘not anxious’), nor do we control for their typical (expected) frequencies in ordinary usage. But this does not affect the nature of our key findings.

The simplicity of our method is intentional for two main reasons. First, it is natural to consider whether simple text-based analysis can be informative before moving to more complex methods. Second, it also allows for an easier assessment of the robustness of the methodology. In particular, we apply a bootstrap technique to compute 95% confidence intervals around the summary statistic. We sample new weights for each word in each dictionary (so that the sum of weights equals the size of the dictionary) and re-compute the statistic.

\textsuperscript{3} In some cases it could be more suitable to scale by the number of documents. However, in this particular case, some documents contained tables and others did not, so the number of characters is a more appropriate choice.
Repeating the procedure gives a distribution from which to extract the confidence intervals. This technique gives us increased confidence that the meaning of individual words in our two lists does not change over time.

3. Results

3.1 The evolution of measures of sentiment

We explore the relative emotion series extracted from MCDAILY in Figure 1, annotating the chart with key events relating to financial stability for purely illustrative purposes – in particular, unlike event studies, we do not try to infer anything causal from the events that we depict on the charts. The graph moves broadly as might be expected. In particular, it shows a stable increase during the mid-2000s. This is followed by a large and rapid decline from mid 2007, much of which occurs before the failure of Bear Stearns in March 2008 – strikingly, although this was already a period of turmoil in the financial system, the series hits very low levels before the worst parts of the crisis at around the time of the Lehman Brothers failure.

Although conviction narrative theory essentially refers to the relative level of sentiment – excitement minus anxiety – it is also interesting to consider the two component parts separately. Figure 2 shows that the variation in anxiety levels is higher than that in excitement levels. This may reflect the fact that fear (or a lack of it) tends to drive movements in the financial system, consistent with heuristic-based approaches to Knightian uncertainty.

---

4 It is easy to imagine other methods of extracting confidence levels, e.g., to sample with replacement from the collection of texts.
Figure 1: Relative sentiment of MCDAIY

Figure 2: Emotional factors of MCDAIY; anxiety (red) and excitement (green)
The MCDAILY series is compared with those extracted from the other two sources, namely RTRS and BROKER in Figure 3. Each of the series is normalised with mean zero and standard deviation of 1 to facilitate comparison. The figure clearly shows that the series tend to move together.

Importantly, both the MCDAILY and RTRS show sharp falls well in advance of the financial crisis (we only have data on the third, BROKER, from 2010). For example, the mean value of MCDAILY over the boom period July 2003 through June 2007 is 0.916, with a standard deviation of 0.567. The August 2007 value fell to 0.506, and in the second half of 2007, the mean value was 0.691. In January 2008, however, there was a sharp fall to -0.868, 3.15 standard deviations below the mean of the July 2003 to June 2007 period, and the series continued to fall well in advance of the failure of Lehman Brothers.

The break in trends in the RTRS series was even earlier. Over the July 2003 – June 2007 period, this averaged 1.083 with a standard deviation of 0.472. As early as June 2007 the RTRS fell to -0.399, 3.14 standard deviations below its 2003-2007 mean. By August 2007, it was 6.11 standard deviations below.
Figures 4 and 5 show the two component parts of the sentiment, excitement and anxiety, in RTRS and BROKER respectively. Again movements in anxiety appear to drive much of the fluctuation in overall sentiment.

3.2 Comparison with other measures

To illustrate how our measures compare with some other measures of uncertainty, Figure 6 shows MCDAILY plotted against the VIX, with the MCDAILY variable inverted for ease of comparison. It is clear that the measures track each
other closely.

Figure 6: Relative sentiment of MCDAILY, inverted for convenience, (black) compared to the VIX (yellow)

More generally we can look at correlations between variables. To explore how our measures compare to a range of other financial and economic indicators, we conducted a simple correlation based pairwise comparison study. The correlations with the Michigan Consumer Sentiment index\(^5\) (MCI), the VIX\(^6\), the economic policy uncertainty index of Baker et al. (2013) (EPU) and the Bank of England macroeconomic uncertainty index (BoEU – see Haddow et al. (2013)), together with the correlations between the individual relative sentiment series are presented in Table 2.\(^7\) To facilitate comparisons and since the sign of all

\(^5\) The MCI was created as a means to assess consumers’ ability and willingness to buy. The survey is carried out with at least 500 phone interviews, during a period of around 2 weeks, in which approximately 50 questions are asked. Survey results are released twice each month at 10.00 a.m. Eastern Time: preliminary estimates are published usually (variations occur during the winter season) on the second Friday of each month, and final results on the fourth Friday.

\(^6\) The VIX, commonly known as the ‘fear’ index, is a measure of implied volatility derived from the price of S&P500 options. We consider an average of VIX, computed using closing prices of all trading days for a given month. Thus making the series comparable to the relative sentiment series, which are also monthly ‘averages’.

\(^7\) Correlations are computed on the full available range of overlapping data. Here MCD = MCDAILY and BRO = BROKER. Since the BoEU index is a quarterly series we create quarterly series of the three sentiment indicators by averaging the values within each quarter. We do not do this for the VIX and the MGI as that is of less relevance here.
correlations is as expected, we give the absolute values of the correlations. It is clear that our three sentiment measures are fairly highly correlated with all of the other measures.

Table 2: Correlations between relative sentiment series and common measures of sentiment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>MCD</th>
<th>RTRS</th>
<th>BRO</th>
<th>VIX</th>
<th>MCI</th>
<th>EPU</th>
<th>BoEU</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MCD</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RTRS</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRO</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To investigate potential lead-lag relationships we report the results of Granger-causality tests between the three sentiment series and the various other indicators considered above.

We use the methodology described in Toda and Yamamoto (1995), as described in the Appendix (section x). Here, we simply report the final step in the process which provides the evidence on the existence or otherwise of Granger causality.

We carry out tests using the aggregate versions of each of the sentiment series (ie net balance between excitement and anxiety), as well as the component parts of each series, namely excitement and anxiety. Table 3 below shows results obtained testing Granger-causality from the various versions of the RTRS, BROKER and MCDAILY variables to MCI, VIX, BoEU and EPU. Table 4 below shows results obtained testing Granger-causality between the same variables in the reverse
direction, from MCI, VIX, BoEU and EPU to the various versions of the RTRS, BROKER and MCDAILY variables.\(^8\)

**Table 3**

Wald test p-values of Granger-causality from the relative sentiment shift series RTRS, BROKER and MCDAILY, and their component parts, to MCI, VIX, BoEU and EPU

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RSS Series</th>
<th>MCI</th>
<th>VIX</th>
<th>BoEU</th>
<th>EPU</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RTRS EXC-ANX</td>
<td>0.005**</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>4e-06**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RTRS EXC</td>
<td>0.032*</td>
<td>0.044*</td>
<td>0.0013**</td>
<td>0.026*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RTRS ANX</td>
<td>0.003**</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>7e-05**</td>
<td>3e-06**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCDAILY EXC-ANX</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>6e-05**</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCDAILY EXC</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCDAILY ANX</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.001**</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BROKER EXC-ANX</td>
<td>2e-11**</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.008**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BROKER EXC</td>
<td>0.022*</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BROKER ANX</td>
<td>3e-05**</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: \(^*p<0.05; **p<0.01\)

**Table 4**

Wald test p-values of Granger-causality from MCI, VIX, BoEU and EPU to the relative sentiment shift series RTRS, BROKER and MCDAILY, and their component parts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RSS Series</th>
<th>MCI</th>
<th>VIX</th>
<th>BoEU</th>
<th>EPU</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RTRS EXC-ANX</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.093</td>
<td>0.022*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RTRS EXC</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.038*</td>
<td>0.004**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RTRS ANX</td>
<td>0.03*</td>
<td>0.0013**</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.001**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^8\) The missing entries in both tables could not be determined because of some form of VAR misspecification
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>MCDAILY EXC-ANX</th>
<th>MCDAILY EXC</th>
<th>MCDAILY ANX</th>
<th>BROKER EXC-ANX</th>
<th>BROKER EXC</th>
<th>BROKER ANX</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.084</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: *p<0.05; **p<0.01

There is some evidence of Granger causality from our text-based sentiment measures to the metrics we consider but less causality in the opposite direction. In particular, the RTRS measure is significant in many of the tests. As we might expect, RTRS and BROKER, sources more reflective of broad macroeconomic commentary, appear to relate most closely to the MCI, which is the most macroeconomic measure of comparison. By contrast, MCDAILY, a source which reflects financial market commentary, exhibits much lower p-values in relation to the VIX and BoEU measures.

As well as being suggestive of the robustness and usefulness of these measures, these results are indicative of the potential use of the relative sentiment measures as short-term forecasting devices, as well as their use to gauge future financial market volatility, consumer confidence and various measures of uncertainty.

### 3.3 A further illustrative result

Thus far we have only discussed how the statistic can be extracted from a generic collection of texts, but it is also easy to filter for texts matching a given criteria, for example texts relating to a particular topic or entity. To explore the potential of such an approach, we filtered for the mention of 'property' in
Reuters’ news archive (Figure 7) and then ran the relative sentiment analysis only on the matching sentences within all articles, with the number of sentences reflected in the bottom panel (recall these are articles published in London). It is particularly interesting to note the steady increase and later decline in volume of articles that matched the property criteria normed by the total number of articles published in London, the turning point occurring around the time of the bankruptcy of Lehman Brothers. The peak of the relative sentiment series (which has here been smoothed with parameter $\alpha = 0.3$) appears to have occurred much before this, towards the end of 2006, after the series had undergone a steady increase for at least 4 years (anxiety relative to excitement steadily dropped out of the discussion). The raw relative sentiment series correlates with RTRS at 0.57 with no statistical evidence of either a lead or lag.

Such focused analysis could potentially be of value if trying to monitor the emergence of exuberance in property markets, or indeed changes in risk-taking sentiment in any specific sector of the economy. This particular example seems to indicate that the property sector became overly exuberant prior to the crisis.
We turn now to our second, more exploratory, line of investigation: can we measure structural changes in the variability of narratives – in particular, at a given point in time, is there consensus over particular narratives or a wide dispersion of narratives (disagreement)? The objective is to investigate if we can detect when some narratives grow to become dominant, arguably to the detriment of the smooth functioning of financial markets and potentially hinting at impending distress if also associated with strongly positive aggregate sentiment. We introduce a novel methodology to explore this.

4.1 Methodology

For this investigation we focused on RTRS as it generally seems to perform well and has a larger sample than the other sources, which is helpful for the
techniques we apply. To measure consensus, we make use of modern information retrieval methods. The main challenge is to find a good methodology for automatic topic detection. Many such approaches exist in the literature (Berry, 2004), but we rely on the straightforward approach of clustering the articles in word-frequency space (after removal of commonplace words) to form topic groups, whereby each article belongs to a single distinct topic. We then measure the uncertainty (entropy) in the distribution of the articles across the topic groups. We consider an increase in the uncertainty (entropy) of the topic distribution as a decrease in consensus and vice versa.

4.2 Results

We plot the narrative consensus found in RTRS in Figure 8. The graph shows a clear increase in consensus (decrease in entropy) preceding the crisis period and much more disagreement subsequently. Having decomposed the narrative discourse into one index measuring shifts in emotion (the previous section) and another measuring structural changes in consensus (entropy), it appears from these results that a predominantly excited consensus emerged prior the crisis, driven by low levels of anxiety. This seems consistent with the convergence of beliefs on the idea that a new paradigm could deliver permanently higher returns in the financial system than previously without threatening stability. With the onset of the crisis, this eventually shifted into predominantly anxious disagreement, as might be expected in an environment of fear and uncertainty. Interestingly, however, the narrative consensus series peaks in mid-2007, just as

---

9 We also investigated the robustness of the narrative consensus metric, a process which involves some technical analysis essentially based upon the degree to which documents at a point in time are similar. We describe this in the Appendix.
anxiety starts to dominate. Exploring sample articles from the largest topic cluster at this time reveals a common theme about weak credit conditions and economic uncertainty.

Table 5 shows the outcome of Wald tests of Granger causality between the entropy series and the VIX, the Bank of England Uncertainty Index (BoEU) and the EPU. We again use the procedure described in section 3. The p-values of Granger-causality in the converse direction, in the direction of the entropy series from the other three variables, can be seen in Table 6. The only significant direction of Granger-causality is from the entropy variable to the BoEU index.

![Figure 8: Relative sentiment (black) and entropy (yellow) in Reuters' London news](image)

**Table 5**

Wald test p-values of Granger-causality from Entropy to VIX, BoEU and EPU

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RSS Series</th>
<th>VIX</th>
<th>BoEU</th>
<th>EPU</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Entropy</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>9.1e-06**</td>
<td>0.81</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: *p<0.05; **p<0.01

**Table 6**
### Wald test p-values of Granger-causality from VIX, BoEU and EPU to Entropy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RSS Series</th>
<th>VIX</th>
<th>BoEU</th>
<th>EPU</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Entropy</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: *p<0.05; **p<0.01

Overall, the consensus series captures both the presence of predominantly excited consensus and predominantly anxious consensus. This highlights how the two measures, of emotion and narrative consensus, might therefore beneficially be interpreted side by side.

### 5. Discussion

Our results highlight how our measures of sentiment and narrative consensus correlate well with, and in some cases even ‘cause’, certain economic and financial variables. Depending on the text source, some perform better with financial variables, some with macroeconomic variables. At a lower frequency, and with the benefit of hindsight, the metrics also appear to signal rising concerns prior to the global financial crisis. In this section, we focus on the potential uses of indicators for emerging financial system stress, but we note that the text sources linked more closely to macroeconomic variables could be useful in forecasting or ‘now-casting’ economic activity (Tuckett et al, 2015).

There are many different approaches for identifying and modelling threats to the financial system, including the use of stress tests, early warning models, composite indicators of systemic risk, and Merton-based models of systemic risk.
that use contingent claims analysis.\textsuperscript{10} Many authorities use indicator dashboards or cobwebs, including the European Systemic Risk Board, the Office of Financial Research in the United States, the World Bank, the Reserve Bank of New Zealand and the Norges Bank.\textsuperscript{11} In the United Kingdom, the Financial Policy Committee routinely reviews a set of core indicators which have been helpful in identifying emerging risks to financial stability in the past, and which therefore might be useful in detecting emerging risks (Bank of England, 2014).\textsuperscript{12}

Recognising that no single set of indicators or models can ever provide a perfect guide to systemic risk, due to the complexity of financial interlinkages and the tendency for the financial system to evolve over time, and time lags before risks become apparent, judgement also plays a crucial role in specifying any policies to tackle threats to the financial system. And qualitative information, including from market and supervisory intelligence typically also helps to support such judgements.

As we have shown in previous sections, our measures of sentiment and consensus, extracted from text-based information, appear to be informative of, episodes of emerging systemic risk and high market volatility. As such, they offer a potential mechanism for extracting quantitative metrics from qualitative, text-based information that is used to inform policy making and might therefore be one component of indicator dashboards, complementing other approaches.


\textsuperscript{11}For the US, see section 3 of the OFR Annual Report (2012). The ESRB’s Risk Dashboard’ is published on the web (see http://www.esrb.europa.eu/pub/rd/html/index.en.html). On the cobweb approach used in New Zealand and Norway, see Bedford and Bloor (2009) and Dahl et al. (2011), respectively.

\textsuperscript{12}See also Giese et al. (2014).
used to detect systemic risk. These measures could also be calculated on a real-time basis, offering them an important advantage over some more conventional indicators. Arguably, they are also likely to be more robust to the Lucas (1976) critique because the writers of individual documents are very unlikely to respond collectively by adapting their writing tones or styles because an indicator based on vast numbers of documents is used as one guide for helping to set policy.

At the same time, it is clearly important to test these indicators further. For example, which particular text-based sources should be the focus of attention, how good are the metrics in distinguishing signal from noise, and how do they compare with more conventional indicators in this respect? We leave these questions for further work.

6. Conclusion

In this paper, we have explored the potential of using algorithmic text analysis, applied through the lens of conviction narrative theory, to extract quantitative summary statistics from novel data sources, which have largely only been used qualitatively thus far. We have demonstrated that the outcome of such procedures can lead to some intuitive and useful representations of financial market sentiment. The shifts correlate well with financial market events and appear to lead a number of financially oriented economic indicators.

We have also developed a novel methodology to measure consensus in the distribution of narratives. This metric can potentially be used to measure homogenisation among market participants. Greater consensus, when viewed together with an increase in the relative sentiment series, may also be
interpreted as an increase of predominantly excited consensus of narratives prior to the global financial crisis. Thus, we appear to have found novel empirical evidence of groupfeel and the build-up of systemic behaviour leading up to the financial crisis.

Overall, the relative sentiment and consensus summary statistics developed may be useful in gauging risks to financial stability arising from the collective behaviour discussed. While further work is needed to refine these metrics, including in relation to both the methods and the data inputs used, they have the potential to provide a useful quantitative, analytical perspective on text-based market information which could help to complement more traditional indicators of systemic risk.
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