### Discussion: The Coevolution of Money Markets and Monetary Policy, 1815-2008

#### Norges Bank Conference Of the Uses of Central Banks, Lessons from History Oslo June 5-6, 2014

#### Nathan Sussman, Research Department Director and MPC member, Bank of Israel

## Summary of Paper

- Main idea: monetary policy implementation depends on and affects money market structure
- Main contribution: an empirical assessment of the coevolution of markets and policy.
- Great data collection effort on CB balance sheets and money market interest rates for 200 years!

### Empirical strategy

- Composition of balance sheet: the correlation with assets traded in the money market (structure)
- Form of operation intervention examine assets side share of advances or discounts to total domestic credit in the economy
- How effective: Short term interest rate spreads – if freely lends then zero or negative if limits borrowers can be positive (rationing)

### Questions that need to be addressed

- Are the money market and central banks balance sheets determined by the choice of monetary regime?
- If so, the paper mainly addresses adherence to the (exogenous) regime
- What are short term money market spreads really measuring? The effectiveness of price setting? The ability to provide liquidity in times of crisis?
- CB have two principal goals: target 'something' and provide liquidity. How can we empirically separate the two operations?

### Where this paper could evolve to?

- Theory: agree no simple unified theory.
- But: paper could be better grounded in existing theory for the different monetary regimes especially useful for the study of prices and what they mean.
- CBs are managing liquidity subject to regime dependent constraint

### Where this paper could evolve to?

- Empirical: make use of your great dataset:
  - use VAR or BVAR to test the channels of transmission in the money markets and assets.
    Exogenous vs. endogenous
  - Use panel data to test for hypothesis
  - Some cases studies

#### 'Primitive' Panel estimates Money markets spreads tend to be higher when CB uses repo. Country fixed effects not important

	(1)	(2)
	Spread - RE	Spread- FE
gold	-0.828	0.0127
-	(-1.12)	(0.02)
discount	-0.221	0.770
	(-0.26)	(0.77)
advances	0.659	1.238
	(0.39)	(0.63)
repo	<b>4.801</b> <sup>**</sup>	<b>5.658</b> <sup>**</sup>
	(2.61)	(3.04)
bonds	-0.0763	0.730
	(-0.12)	(0.99)
securities	-1.815	2.286
	(-0.84)	(0.84)
Constant	-0.411	-79.24
	(-0.01)	(-1.38)
Observations	51	51
7	2	122

### Monetary regime fixed effects are important

		(1)
		spread
	gold	0.0246
	0	(0.03)
	discount	1.062
		(0.90)
	advances	1.053
		(0.57)
	repo	3.175
		(1.67)
	bonds	0.528
		(0.70)
	• • • • •	2 014
	securities	-2.014
		(-0.91)
	d gold	71.03
	u_golu	-71.03
		(-1.03)
$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{C}}$	d fx	-90.86*
	u_IX	(-2.41)
		(-2.41)
	d bw	-65.41
	<b>u_</b> 0 11	(-1.58)
		(100)
	Constant	-8 452
		(-0.16)
(	Observations	51
	$r^2$	.304

Case studies: Providing liquidity under monetary regime constraints: Baring (1891) vs Lehman (2008)

- BoE operating under gold standard can't change monetary base for given gold reserves. Liquidity crisis: market rates go up. To provide liquidity has to raise bank rate operate on the liability side (borrow) and lend. lending lower market and bank rate
- Fed operating under inflation target regime lower Fed rate increase monetary base.



#### Bank of England intervention - During the Baring Crisis

Chart 9 Federal Reserve Bank Actions during the Lehman Brothers Crisis



### Case study: Closer to my home

- Bank of Israel uses Makam: short term securities issued by central bank to affect the money market rates **liability side** main asset FX reserves **asset side**
- Objective: Manage money market conditions given the policy rate subject to constraint of FX intervention for exports support objective.
- Looking at **asset** side tells very little about managing the money market.

### Case study: Closer to my home

- What do short term money market spreads tell us?
- Spreads are affected by central bank actions in the short term debt market
- **But** spreads also convey expectations of market participants on the bank of Israel's policy rate
- On average the median spread 2004-2014 is zero

# The BOI rate and short term BOI bond rate – the liquidity versus expectations



### Summary

- Important research agenda
- So much more to do