Achieving Price and Financial Stability: Challenges and Potential Pitfalls

Petra M. Geraats University of Cambridge Petra.Geraats@econ.cam.ac.uk

Norges Bank Conference "Of the Uses of Central Banks: Lessons from History" Oslo, 5-6 June 2014

Fundamental Challenge Facing Central Banks

Central bank sets short-term policy rate to influence longer term real interest rates that affect economy.

Fundamental challenge:

Fundamental Challenge Facing Central Banks

Central bank sets short-term policy rate to influence longer term real interest rates that affect economy.

Fundamental challenge:

Substantial uncertainty about

- Key monetary policy indicator output gap
- Monetary policy transmission

Financial stability: Stable financial system with healthy financial institutions and markets in which asset prices are consistent with fundamentals

Potential pitfalls:

Financial stability: Stable financial system with healthy financial institutions and markets in which asset prices are consistent with fundamentals

Potential pitfalls:

• Price stability \neq Financial stability

Trade-offs arise for aggregate supply and 'sentiment' shocks [Geraats 2010]

Financial stability: Stable financial system with healthy financial institutions and markets in which asset prices are consistent with fundamentals

Potential pitfalls:

- Price stability ≠ Financial stability
 Trade-offs arise for aggregate supply and 'sentiment' shocks [Geraats 2010]
- Price stability → Financial instability Macroeconomic stability could induce greater risk-taking and breed financial imbalances [Borio & Lowe 2002, White 2006]

Financial stability: Stable financial system with healthy financial institutions and markets in which asset prices are consistent with fundamentals

Potential pitfalls:

- Price stability ≠ Financial stability
 Trade-offs arise for aggregate supply and 'sentiment' shocks [Geraats 2010]
- Price stability → Financial instability Macroeconomic stability could induce greater risk-taking and breed financial imbalances [Borio & Lowe 2002, White 2006]

 \rightarrow Additional policy instruments required to achieve both objectives [Tinbergen rule]

Transparency as Policy Tool

Transparency can have two types of effects (Geraats 2002, 2011)

- * Information effects: direct, ex post effects of information disclosure
- + Publishing projected policy path reduces uncertainty and increases policy effectiveness
- Disclosure of liquidity problems could trigger bank run (e.g. Northern Rock, 9/2007)

Transparency as Policy Tool

Transparency can have two types of effects (Geraats 2002, 2011)

* Information effects: direct, ex post effects of information disclosure

+ Publishing projected policy path reduces uncertainty and increases policy effectiveness

- Disclosure of liquidity problems could trigger bank run (e.g. Northern Rock, 9/2007)

* *Incentive effects*: indirect, ex ante structural changes in economic behavior resulting from different information structure

+ Transparency could induce greater discipline (e.g. anticipating public 'stress tests')

- 'Constructive ambiguity' could prevent risky activities

Transparency as Policy Tool

Transparency can have two types of effects (Geraats 2002, 2011)

* Information effects: direct, ex post effects of information disclosure

- + Publishing projected policy path reduces uncertainty and increases policy effectiveness
- Disclosure of liquidity problems could trigger bank run (e.g. Northern Rock, 9/2007)

* *Incentive effects*: indirect, ex ante structural changes in economic behavior resulting from different information structure

- + Transparency could induce greater discipline (e.g. anticipating public 'stress tests')
- 'Constructive ambiguity' could prevent risky activities

Transparency paradox or potential pitfall:

Disclosure could be detrimental if ex post, but beneficial if ex ante.

 \rightarrow Commit to regular public stress tests, with ambiguity about precise parameters