U.S. Monetary Policy: Assessing Strategy and Effectiveness

Norges Bank September 27, 2013

Charles L. Evans *President and CEO* Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago 1. Strategy for U.S. Monetary Policy

2. Have non-traditional policies at the ZLB been effective?

3. Monetary Policy, Financial Stability and Macro-Prudential policies

Strategy for U.S. Monetary Policy

The objective of Monetary Policy in the U.S. is to:

- a. Mechanically adhere to a simple policy rule
- b. Implement the popular monetary policy du jour
- c. Provide financial and monetary conditions to facilitate maximum employment and price stability
- d. None of the above

Current Scorecard for the U.S.

Unacceptably high unemployment

Inflation is well below long-run inflation objective

Simple policy rules break down at ZLB

Understanding U.S. Monetary Policy

Possible Strategies

- Business as usual
- Not business as usual at the ZLB
 - Can require some unpopular monetary policies

Central Bank independence is crucial. If independence means anything...

- Pursue best policies to meet statutory objectives
- No matter how unpopular [cf Volcker Fed]
- And be held accountable to democratically elected officials

What if There Was a Single Mandate in U.S.?



Source: Inflation forecasts are from the September 18, 2013 FOMC Summary of Economic Projections

Source: FRB-Chicago Staff Models

Long-Run Strategy for Monetary Policy (January 2012 and January 2013)

\pi^* = 2\% PCE inflation

■ U_t^{*} ~ 5¼% - 6% time-varying

SEP long-run central tendency

Balanced approach to reducing deviations of inflation and employment from long-run objectives

Balanced Approach to the Dual Mandate is Consistent with Mainstream Macroeconomics



The Problem is the Zero Lower Bound

Federal Funds Rate

(percent)



Source: Janet L. Yellen, "Perspectives on Monetary Policy," Boston, June 6 2012

Asset Purchases: The Fed's Balance Sheet



Forward Guidance on the Federal Funds Rate

December 2012: "Economic conditions likely to warrant exceptionally low level of the funds rate at least as long as the unemployment rate remains above 6-1/2 percent, inflation between one and two years ahead is projected to be no more than a half of a percentage point above the Committee's 2 percent long-run goal, and longer-term inflation expectations continue to be well-anchored."

FG and FOMC "Appropriate" Policy Rates



Source: Interest rate forecasts are from the September 18, 2013 FOMC Summary of Economic Projections; market expectations from OIS futures, September 19, 2013

Change in Fed Funds Rate Expectations

Fed Funds Rate

(percent) 6



Have non-traditional policies at the ZLB been effective?

Policy Evaluation

Common op-ed page policy evaluation:

"After \$3+ trillion of asset purchases and all this forward guidance, there has been no effect on the economic recovery. Therefore nontraditional monetary policy has been impotent."

Standard economic policy evaluation:

- What does economic theory say?
- What do the data say?
- Have all important factors been accounted for in the data analysis? (controls)
- What assumptions are required for this evaluation to be meaningful?

Reviewing Data: Facts About Growth

(percent change at an annual rate and contribution to annualized percent change)

	2007:Q4 to 2009:Q2	2009:Q2 to 2010:Q4	2010:Q4 to 2013:Q2
GDP	-2.9	2.7	1.9
Contribution to growth:			
PDFP ¹	-3.8	2.1	2.3
Gov	0.7	-0.1	-0.5
NX	1.2	-0.5	0.1
CBI	-1.0	1.2	0.0

1. Private domestic final purchases

Headwinds Contributing to Weak recovery



International

Hangover from housing collapse

Federal + State and Local Purchases Weak

Government Contributions to Real GDP Growth

(percent)



Historically Unusual

Government Contributions to Real GDP Growth

(percent)



World Growth

Real World GDP Growth

(4-quarter percent change)



Source: International Monetary Fund April World Economic Outlooks except as noted

European Sovereign Debt Spreads

(spread to German long-term rates)



Household Deleveraging

Household Liabilities

(% of DPI)



Owners' Equity

(% of household real estate)



Volatility in Treasury Rates also Informs Economic Factors for Growth

Ten-Year Treasury Bond Yield



LSAP Effects

Wide range of estimates regarding the effect of LSAP on Treasury rates through

- Portfolio balance effect on term premia
- Signaling effect on expected future short-term rates

Reasonable estimate is \$500 billion of LSAP worth about 25 bps on 10-year Treasury rates

Empirical Facts about Term Premia



Source: Federal Reserve Board; Barclays PLC; staff calculations.

Lessons from the 10-Year Treasury Rate

- Change in rates and term premia are larger than what can be explained by reasonable estimates of portfolio balance and forward guidance
- Many factors influenced 10-year Treasury rates
 - Is it plausible that these and other factors had "no effect" on growth and inflation experience in U.S.? (importance of controls)

We need counterfactual accounting

- First class in Econ 101: supply and demand equilibrium
- Second class in Econ 101: comparative statics

Accounting for Growth: It Takes a Model

Medium scale New Keynesian DSGE model

Demand shocks: Discount factor, interest rate spread, net worth, "NIPA residual" (GOV+NX+CBI)

Supply shocks: Neutral technology, investment specific technology, wage and price markups

Policy shocks: Current target and forward guidance on the funds rate, inflation expectations

Estimated using standard (Bayesian) methods

Forward Guidance: Theory and Evidence

- Bedrock principle for Monetary Policy: lower rates support higher economic activity
- At the ZLB, does forward guidance lower rates and increase activity?

Effects of Forward Guidance

Estimates from Chicago FED DSGE model



Impulse Response: Forward Guidance Factor (1 std. dev. impulse)

DSGE Model Forecasts of GDP



2008Q1-2009Q2: Great Recession

	Conditional Forecast Error Decomposition			
	Demand	Policy	Total	
GDP	-8.1	-3.2	-13.4	
Consumption	-8.2	-1.5	-12.4	
Core PCE Inflation	-5.4	1.2	-3.0	
Federal funds rate	-7.9	1.2	-7.5	

Percentage point errors in forecasts made in 2007:Q4 for the 2009:Q2: level of GDP and consumption; quarterly percent change (annual rate) in core PCE; quarterly-average federal funds rate.

- Severe downturn for all macro variables compared against expected solid growth for the period.
- Weak Demand drove downturn, particularly the discount factor/beta shock
- Contractionary target and FG policy shocks also a drag on GDP owing to ZLB.

2008Q1-2009Q2: Great Recession

GDP (per capita), Forecast Error and Decomposition Forecast sample:2008Q1-2009Q2



Notes: In Panels b) through d) the total forecast error (FE) is given by the solid line, with grey bars corresponding to the contribution of each group. Forecast for cummulated sum of quarterly GDP growth rate, data as of 2007Q4.

2009Q2-2010Q4: "Green Shoots"

As of spring of 2009, the model forecast saw continuing decline, as follow-through from large previous shocks.

Overall, GDP outperformed model forecast by 1.4 percentage points.

Favorable forward guidance shocks accounted for 1.2 percentage points.

2009Q2-2010Q4: "Green Shoots"

GDP (per capita), Forecast Error and Decomposition Forecast sample:2009Q3-2010Q4



Notes: In Panels b) through d) the total forecast error (FE) is given by the solid line, with grey bars corresponding to the contribution of each group. Forecast for cummulated sum of quarterly GDP growth rate, data as of 2009Q2.

2011Q1-2013Q1: Massive Headwinds

	Conditional Forecast Error Decomposition		
	Demand	Policy	Total
GDP	-2.1	1.0	-5.1
Consumption	0.9	0.4	-0.6
Core PCE Inflation	-0.8	0.4	0.6
Federal funds rate	-1.6	-0.2	-1.1

Percentage point errors in forecasts made in 2010:Q4 for the 2013:Q1: level of GDP and consumption; quarterly percent change (annual rate) in core PCE; quarterly-average federal funds rate.

- Model forecast more robust recovery; but output actually fell relative to trend.
- Adverse demand and supply shocks contribute substantially to weak GDP.
- Principle demand shock was model NIPA residual (GOV+NX+CBI).
- Policy shocks offset 1 percentage point of adverse shocks; but past policy shocks also provided uplift for conditional forecast.

2011Q1-2013Q1: Massive Headwinds

GDP (per capita), Forecast Error and Decomposition Forecast sample:2011Q1-2013Q1





Monetary Policy, Financial Stability and Macro-Prudential policies

MP and Financial Stability: Mandates and Tools

Tensions from low interest rates

- Appropriate MP accommodation at ZLB
- Incentivize additional risk-taking: cross-current
- Degrading MP tools to mitigate Financial Instability risks would lead to inflation below target and additional resource slack.

In order to avoid excess risk-taking, use combination of Supervisory oversight, macro-prudential tools (separate from MP tools), and Market Discipline